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Introduction

In 2006, the “German Sports Confederation” (Deutscher Sportbund) and the “National Olympic Committee for Germany” (Nationale Olympische Komitee für Deutschland) merged, and the “German Olympic Sports Confederation” (Deutscher Olympischer Sportbund/DOSB) became the umbrella sport organisation in Germany.

In this paper, the main goals of this merging process, some historical developments, as well as current structures and issues will be discussed with a focus on the relations between the DOSB and disability sport organisations, in particular the “German Disability Sport Organisation” (Deutscher Behindertensportverband), which also serves as the “National Paralympic Committee Germany” (Nationale Paralympisches Komitee).

Both organisations are working together in a variety of programmes and projects, e.g. in the preparation of bids to host Olympic and Paralympic Games. “Inclusion” has become a keyword for many initiatives in German sports, particularly regarding the implementation of the “UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities”, which entered into force in March 2009.

1. A look back: Sport developments in Germany after World War II

After World War II Germany was divided into the “Federal Republic of Germany” (FRG, West Germany) and the “German Democratic Republic” (GDR, East Germany).

As a consequence, this division existed also in the newly established sport...
organisations (see Deutscher Sportbund 1990): the “National Olympic Committee for Germany” (NOK für Deutschland) was founded in 1949 and the “NOC for the GDR” followed in 1951. Both parts of Germany established an umbrella sport organisation: the “German Sports Confederation” (Deutscher Sportbund) in 1950 in the FRG; and the “German Gymnastics and Sport Federation” (Deutscher Turn- und Sportverband) in 1957 in the GDR. Parallel structures existed in the FRG and in the GDR regarding the Olympic sport movement and the general sport movement, in particular, focusing on recreational and competitive sport activities.

A separate organisation for persons with disabilities was founded in 1951 in the FRG named “The German Veterans Sport Organisation” (Deutscher Versehrten-Sportverband) with a focus on wounded soldiers and war veterans. It changed its name in 1975 to “The German Disability Sport Organisation” (Deutscher Behindertensportverband). A similar organisation was also founded in the GDR. A detailed analysis of these historical developments was presented by Wedemeyer-Kolwe (2011). After the unification of Germany in 1990, reviewing the roots of the disability sport movement from the beginning until then, both sport organisations for persons with disabilities merged in 1991.

In the early years after World War II, these two sport organisations in the separate parts of Germany focused mainly on sport as rehabilitation aiming at an improved well-being of wounded war veterans. Amongst them were, however, former athletes, who were also interested in competition, so controversial opinions existed, either being in favour of the therapeutic and rehabilitative approach or preferring the sport competition approach (see Wedemeyer-Kolwe 2011). In general, specific organisational structures were developed, in some cases connected to the sport system of “able-bodied” persons, but in most cases as a separate sport organisation.

These historical developments are of crucial importance for the understanding of current structures and debates.

The history of the development of disability sport was strongly influenced and also described in detail by Guttmann (1979), a neurosurgeon, who worked at the Stoke Mandeville Hospital in Aylesbury, England. Originally, Guttmann came from Germany, but emigrated as a Jewish in 1939 from Germany under the rule of the National Socialists to England.

In his well-known publication “Sport für Körperbehinderte” (original: “Textbook of
Sport for the Disabled”) Guttmann (1979) describes the situation of soldiers and civilians with a spinal cord injury. For centuries spinal cord injured persons were seen as “hopeless cases” with a very short life expectancy of two or three years after the injury. The Stoke-Mandeville-Concept introduced by Guttmann included physical activities and sport as part of a holistic rehabilitative process. His co-worker Scruton described the early years at Stoke Mandeville Hospital as follows:

“Step by step, under the enlightened leadership of Dr. Guttmann, the whole scheme of physical restoration of the paraplegic was systematically developed and put into practice by us. And how often we were told what a waste of effort it all was” (Scruton 1998, 13).

On July 29, 1948, Guttmann organised the First Stoke Mandeville Games for 16 war veterans. A few years later, in 1954, the first team of spinal cord injured German athletes participated in the Games. The date chosen was a conscious decision, because it was the day of the Opening Ceremony of the Olympic Games in London and Guttmann called this coincidence “a good omen” (Guttmann 1956, 78). The impact of Guttmann’s work was tremendous, in England, in Germany and in many countries around the world, and led to the emergence of a global movement, the Paralympic Movement (see Bailey 2008):

On the occasion of the first International Stoke Mandeville Games (1st Paralympic Games) outside England in 1960 in Rome, in a private audience, His Holiness Pope John XXIII said: “Dr. Guttmann, you are the de Coubertin of the Paralysed” (Scruton 1998, 310).

Competitive sport for persons with disabilities developed during the following years also in Germany, and athletes with different disabilities participated in championships at national and international levels. However, the general public and the media had little interest and it took quite long before disability sport events received more attention in Germany and globally.

2. A new approach: Integration

In the 1970s, within the “German Sports Confederation” (Deutscher Sportbund), sport clubs started to integrate persons with disabilities into their programmes which were previously only open for persons without disabilities.
More and more sport clubs followed the examples of these “pioneers”, and a new term was frequently used in this context, “Integrationssport” (see Mentz and Mentz 1982; Fediuk 1992; Scheid 1995). Scientific studies were also carried out aiming at identifying criteria for successful integration of persons with disabilities into physical education in schools and physical activity, sport and games in the sport clubs. A major issue dealt with attitudes of teachers and instructors towards integration (see Doll-Tepper, Schmidt-Gotz, Lienert, Döen and Hecker 1994).

Due to the fact that sport organisations and clubs for persons with disabilities were separate from other sport organisations, and the training of instructors and coaches was based on a different qualification system, doubts were expressed that such integrative approaches could be successful.

Not only were sport clubs increasingly including persons with disabilities, but a similar development occurred in schools, and new programmes for teacher, coach and instructor education were introduced (see Fediuk 1999, Scheid 1995, Doll-Tepper 2002, Rheker 2002, Fediuk and Tiemann 2015).

The process of integration progressed in Germany during the following two decades, both in school and sport club settings (see Wegner, Scheid and Knoll 2015). Representatives of sport organisations and of governments responsible for sport identified a need for better information and communication concerning sport opportunities for persons with disabilities. In 1988 an information centre for disability sport was established in Heidelberg which moved to Berlin in 1990, and since then it provides information about sport programmes, events etc. as a national centre for sport for persons with disabilities.

In the 1990s and early 2000s, sport organisations in Germany increasingly offered programmes for persons with migration backgrounds and the term “integration” was introduced to describe these initiatives. Currently both terms “integration” and “inclusion” are being used in Germany, very often by making a distinction between joint programmes for persons with and without disabilities which is called “inclusion” and joint programmes for persons with a migrant background where the term “integration” is preferred.
German athletes participated in both Paralympic Summer and Winter Games. The first Summer Paralympics were held in Rome, Italy, in 1960 and the first Winter Paralympics were organised in Örnsköldsvik, Sweden in 1976. Between 1960 and 1988, athletes with disabilities in Germany trained in most cases separately from the other athletes in their own sport groups or disability sport clubs. Since the late 1980s the concept of integration was introduced in some sport federations and some sport clubs, and increasingly athletes were included in sport centres and Olympic training centres. An important step in the process of greater acceptance of the Paralympics within the world of Olympic sport was made when the city of Berlin, Germany, put forward a bid to host the 2000 Olympic and Paralympic Games. In 1993, during the bid process, an international conference, called VISTA, was held in Jasper, Canada, which addressed issues of high performance sport for athletes with disabilities (Steadward/Nelson & Wheeler 1994). In a presentation, “Towards 2000 – the Paralympics”, the following statement was made: “In its recent history, the Paralympics have been associated to a greater extent with the Olympic Games: in Seoul, 1988, the same sport facilities were used for both the Olympic and the Paralympic Games. In Barcelona, 1992, the Olympic Games and the Paralympic Games were hosted by the same Organising Committee. And in 1993, for the first time in history, the cities bidding for the Olympic Games are discovering the Paralympics as a valuable part of their bids” (Doll-Tepper/von Selzam 1994, 478). The authors then refer to a statement which was made by the IOC President Juan Antonio Samaranch on the occasion of the Closing Ceremony of the First Paralympic Congress in Barcelona 1992 in his closing address: “… We will try, … to establish a condition, recommending that the city that has the luck and the honour to be chosen for the Games of the year 2000 will also be obliged to organise the Paralympics (in this case the XI Games) a few weeks later” (Samaranch 1993, 712). An interesting, sometimes controversial debate took place at the VISTA conference and on many other occasions concerning the relations between the IOC and the IPC, in particular with regard to the bidding process and the hosting of the Games, but also beyond the
At that time three scenarios were presented:

It is interesting to note that other authors have addressed this relation more recently with regard to the issue of inclusion. Legg, Fay, Wolff and Hums (2014) discuss the future scenarios in their article “The International Olympic Committee – International Paralympic Committee Relationship: Past, Present and Future” and present five scenarios, reaching from maintaining the current status (status quo) to dissolving IOC-IPC strategic agreements and ending all Olympic support for the Paralympic Games after 2020.

In Germany, bids to host Olympic and Paralympic Games were prepared several times, e.g. by the city of Berlin for the Games in 2000, the city of Leipzig for 2004, by the city of Munich for 2018 and 2022 and most recently by the city of Hamburg for 2024.

In 1972, Munich, Germany, hosted the Games of the XX Olympiad. However, no close relation existed to the sport organisations for persons with disabilities at that time.

In an article on the history of Paralympic Games, Labanowich (1989) reports: “The intent [sic] had been to hold the Games in Munich but it turned out that the authorities concerned planned on converting the Olympic Village into private
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**Figure 1. Three scenarios for the relationship between the IOC and IPC**
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residences immediately following the Olympic Games. Without adequate housing available, … the decision [was made, the author] to stage the competitions in Heidelberg” (Labanowich 1989, 14). Another interesting issue is addressed: “The official title given to the competition was the XXI International Stoke Mandeville Games, Heidelberg, 1972. Yet the U.S. Army buses that transported the athletes from their living quarters at the Rehabilitation Center to the competition sites had Paralympics boldly displayed in the destination panel” (Labanowich 1989, 14).

As regards the host cities of the Olympic and Paralympic Games, only in 1960 in Rome, Italy and in 1964 in Tokyo, Japan, both Games were held in the same cities, and it took until 1988 in Seoul, South Korea, that the host city was the same for both Games.

Another important aspect was and still is the question, what kinds of disabilities were included in the Paralympic Games (see Doll-Tepper 2013). From the early beginnings a growing number of athletes with different disabilities, such as spinal cord injury, amputee, les autres, cerebral palsy, visual impairment and blindness participated. However, persons with intellectual disabilities joined much later.

4. Sport for persons with an intellectual/learning disability

In Germany, persons with an intellectual disability can practice sport in an inclusive sport club or setting, in a sport club for persons with disabilities, or they can join a programme of Special Olympics. In 1977 a sport club in Göttingen, Germany, opened its doors to young people with an intellectual disability. The parents of a young boy, Andreas, and authors of a book entitled “It all started with Andreas” (Mit Andreas fing alles an) (Mentz and Mentz 1982) describe the historical moment when their son and other children with disabilities first joined the oldest sport club in Göttingen “Turngemeinde Göttingen von 1846” on 3 March 1977. This initiative had many followers all over Germany and many sport clubs offered inclusive sport for persons with different disabilities, and in particular, with intellectual disabilities.

Athletes with intellectual disabilities from Germany participated in previous Paralympic Games, except during the period after the Sydney Paralympic Games 2000. At that time these athletes from INAS-FID were not allowed to participate until the London 2012 Paralympic Games when these athletes re-entered the Games. A
larger community of athletes with intellectual disabilities is part of Special Olympics Germany, with the opportunity to compete at local, national or even international levels, in the Special Olympics World Games. The sports programme has been extended in recent years, in particular, by introducing the “Unified Sports Programme” in which athletes with and without intellectual disabilities compete together in a team, e.g. in tennis, basketball, volleyball etc.

Special Olympics Germany is organising National Games bi-annually, very often in conjunction with a congress which brings together experts from different disciplines and persons with intellectual disabilities. In order to ensure that all participants can follow the lectures, the presentations are given in two forms: in regular language and in “easy-to-understand” language (see Fachausschuss Wissenschaft Special Olympics Deutschland e.V. 2014).

5. Sport for all

The Sport for all movement has a long tradition in Germany and started with recreational activities already in the 1960s. The term “Sport” is understood in the broadest sense (Hartmann-Tews 1996), which includes a variety of physical activities and is not necessarily connected to competition. The so-called Trimm Campaign, initiated by Jürgen Palm (Palm 1991) in Germany, was used as an “instrument for communicating the values and programmes of sport to the life quality enhancement of the general population” (Palm 1991, 68). This concept was the basis for the inclusion of various groups in society into sport and aimed at empowering people of different levels of performance, interests, abilities, cultural and religious backgrounds.
The idea and concept of “Sport for All” had an enormous impact on developments in Germany and in many other countries around the world and is still expanding. It is interesting to note that the TRIMMY, the mascot of the Sport for All Movement, in the early days, is now the mascot of the German Olympic Sports Confederation.

6. 2006 – A milestone year in German sport

In the late 1990s and early 2000s, discussions started about merging the “German Sports Confederation” (Deutscher Sportbund) and the “National Olympic Committee for Germany” (Nationales Olympisches Komitee für Deutschland) into one umbrella organisation.

On 26 May 2006, the German Sports Confederation and the National Olympic Committee for Germany merged into the German Olympic Sports Confederation. This was done at their joint wish and in order to strengthen organised sport in the Federal Republic of Germany.

Main goals of this merging process were:

- Reduction of the number of committees;
- Clear separation of strategic and operational responsibilities;
- Strengthening professional approaches;
- Faster decisions;
- Efficient forms of control;
- Transparency/Code of ethics;
- Good governance;
- etc.
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**Figure 3. System of organised sport in Germany**
High performance sport/Olympic sport plays an important role in the German Olympic Sports Confederation. Sport development, however, is of equal importance. It includes:

- Sports facilities and environment;
- Health and fitness;
- School sports/physical education;
- Women and equal opportunities;
- Integration/inclusion through sports;
- Sports for the elderly;

Facts and Figures

- 80.8 citizen in Germany
- 91,000 sports clubs
- 28 million members
- 8.6 million volunteers working in sports clubs
- of whom 740,000 hold management positions (e.g. chairman/chairwoman)
- 1 million volunteers working at an executive level (e.g. trainer, exercise instructors)
  - Approx. 90% of which is honorary
  - Approx. 50% of which have DOSB licences
- 7 million voluntary assistants (e.g. for club events)
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- Family and sports;
- Persons with disabilities;
- Persons with migrant backgrounds;

etc.

An important initiative in Germany is the “German Sports Badge” (Deutsches Sportabzeichen), which had started already in 1913.

The individual sportive ability is assessed within four sports and their main disciplines:
- Athletics;
- Cycling;
- Swimming;
- Gymnastics;

With different performance requirements according to age, gender, and disability on three different levels: bronze, silver, and gold.

In 2015, the German Sports Badge Tour focussed on inclusion, which means that participants with and without disabilities had the opportunity to get a badge in gold, silver or bronze.
7. Relations between DOSB and DBS/NPC

The “German Disability Sport Organisation” (Deutscher Behindertensportverband) serving also as the National Paralympic Committee Germany, is one of the 98 member organisations of the “German Olympic Sports Confederation” (Deutscher Olympischer Sportbund/DOSB), and is part of the Non-Olympic Sports Federations. “The German Deaf Sport Organisation” (Deutscher Gehörlosen-Sportverband) also belongs to this category.

“Special Olympics Germany” is a separate organisation and is a member of the “Federations with particular tasks” (Verbände mit besonderen Aufgaben).

Close cooperation between the DOSB and the DBS/NPC exists in various fields, e.g. in recent years when preparing bids to host the Olympic and Paralympic Games. Unfortunately, earlier bids failed and the bid for the 2022 Winter Games by Munich and the bid for the 2024 Summer Games by Hamburg could not be continued due to a negative referendum by the voters.

The already mentioned German Sports Badge is another example of cooperation, as well as numerous joint events on national and local levels. In German sport, there exists a long tradition of organising “Olympic Youth Camps” during the Olympic Summer and Winter Games.

Parallel to this initiative of the umbrella German sport organisation and their youth sport organisation, the German Disability Sport Organisation in cooperation with their
youth sport organisation provides an opportunity for young persons with a disability to participate in a “Paralympic Youth Camp” during the Paralympic Summer and Winter Games. In recent years, communication and cooperation has intensified, and young persons with a disability can also join the “Olympic Youth Camps”.

Close cooperation between these organisations is also practiced in the preparation of teaching material for primary and secondary schools prior to Olympic/Paralympic Summer and Winter Games. The production of this material entitled “Olympia Calls: Join in!” ("Olympia ruft: Mach mit!") is coordinated under the leadership of the German Olympic Academy, and is made available to all schools in Germany and is very much welcomed by teachers and their students alike.

The German Olympic Academy, as well as the German sport federations and the “German School Sport Foundation” ("Deutsche Schulsportstiftung"), are organising every year a sport competition both in summer and winter sports for schools. This initiative started already in the context of the 1972 Olympic Games in Munich and is entitled “Youth trains for Olympics” ("Jugend trainiert für Olympia"). A few years ago this competition was extended to young people with disabilities and their contest is called “Youth trains for Paralympics” ("Jugend trainiert für Paralympics"). Both final competitions at national level are jointly organised in the same venues, and include all participants at the opening and closing ceremonies.

Every year, on 23 June, in German sports the “Olympic Day” is organised, hosted by the German Olympic Academy and the German Olympia & Sport Museum in Cologne. This event brings together over 2,000 young people to practice sporting activities, learn about the Olympic and Paralympic Movements and meet Olympic and Paralympic champions.

On a regular basis, Olympic training centres are used by top athletes with and without disabilities. They all have access to services in sports medicine, physiotherapy, exercise science, biomechanics etc.

Since the “UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities” came into force in 2009 in Germany many new initiatives were started to ensure that full participation in sport for all persons with disabilities is realised.
8. Implementing the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Sport in Germany

In addition to working groups established by the ministers of education and the ministers of sport in Germany, the German Olympic Sports Confederation has also established a working group entitled “Inclusion in and through sport”, chaired by the author of this article.

In a first step, a so-called “Position Paper” was jointly written by the representatives of the respective sport organisations, and in early 2013 shared with all 98 member organisations within the DOSB. This paper aimed at clarifying what the current state of sport for persons with disabilities was in Germany, and what kind of needs could be identified to ensure inclusion and equal participation.

At the same time, all sport organisations in Germany were asked to report on their current situation as far as participation of persons with disabilities was concerned. The reports were made available on websites and created increased interest within the sport organisations.

The next step included financial assistance for sport organisations and sport clubs which started an innovative programme of inclusion via a so-called innovation fund.

Again, all information of the programmes and their creative approaches were made available so that an exchange of experiences was encouraged. During the year 2013, many meetings of the DOSB working group were held in order to prepare a detailed “Position Paper” that was presented to the General Assembly of the DOSB in December 2013.

This position statement was unanimously adopted by the General Assembly and served as a basis for the preparation of an even more detailed plan in 2014, a “Strategic paper on inclusion in and through sport” for the period of 2015 – 2018. In this paper, which was published by the working group in January 2015, five action fields which are relevant for inclusion in sport were described:

- Programmes
- Structures
- Accessibility/Barrier-free Access
- Qualification
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・Cooperation
(see DOSB 2015)

Connected to these action fields, other important areas were identified:
・Lobbying,
・Internal and external service,
・supporting and advisory services,
・public relations work,
・finances,
・research.

For all these areas practical examples were given so that member organisations could use these and develop their own programmes and approaches.

A very special document was produced by several experts and representatives of German sport organisations entitled “Index for Inclusion in and through Sport” (“Index für Inklusion im und durch Sport”), published by the “German Disability Sport Organisation/National Paralympic Committee Germany” (Deutscher
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Behindertensportverband/NPC Germany (2014), which can be used as a guide to persons in sport clubs who wish to include individuals with different disabilities into their sport programmes.

A special website “Inclusion in sport” provides updated information on developments in this area to all interested individuals and groups.

Seminars and conferences with a special focus on inclusion were organised annually, and in April 2016 a two-day congress entitled “Winning with Inclusion” (“Mit Inklusion gewinnen”) will bring together all persons interested in inclusion in sport.

The congress will be held under the patronage of Verena Bentele, the current “Federal Government Commissioner for Matters Relating to Disabled Persons” (Beauftragte der Bundesregierung für die Belange behinderter Menschen).

Verena Bentele is one of the most successful blind Paralympic athletes, who won with her guide several gold medals in nordic skiing/biathlon, and she is a great supporter of inclusion in sport in Germany. Recently, she has published her biography entitled “Control is good, trust is better” (“Kontrolle ist gut, Vertrauen ist besser”) (Bentele 2014).

In recent years, young athletes with disabilities were given access to elite sport schools and a large number of athletes with disabilities currently use Olympic training centres which exist all over Germany. An increasing number of coaches are involved in disability sport and new initiatives exist with regard to talent identification and development in Paralympic sport (see Radtke & Doll-Tepper 2014).

Here are a few more examples with regard to the promotion of inclusion.

Wolfgang Weigert, one of the members of the DOSB working group, is the President of the German Karate Federation, who hosted the 2014 Karate World Championships in Bremen, Germany. On that occasion the first World Karate Championships for Persons with Disabilities were included into this world event.

A poster campaign “Athletes on equal level” was started in 2015, showing athletes with and without different disabilities in sport.

A stamp series “For the sport 2015” focussed on athletes with disabilities in different sports.
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Image 5-8. Poster series on “inclusion in sport”

9. The Outlook

From a historical perspective, the Olympic/sport movement and the Paralympic/disability sport movement had relatively limited connections in Germany. Both in terms of structures and events, only a few links existed.

Over the last decades, enormous changes have occurred leading to a much closer relation and numerous examples of cooperation.

Currently, the “German Olympic Sports Confederation” (Deutscher Olympischer Sportbund) and the “German Disability Sport Organisation/National Paralympic Committee Germany” (Deutscher Behindertensportverband/NPC Germany) work together in various projects, programmes and initiatives, as described in this article.

A very special aspect should also be highlighted in this context: the establishment of the Headquarters of the International Paralympic Committee (IPC) in Bonn, Germany. During the 1990s, the German government, in particular the Federal Ministry of the Interior, and the umbrella sport organisations, including the National Olympic Committee for Germany and the German Disability Sport Organisation/National Paralympic Committee Germany, were involved in the bidding. In 1999, the IPC moved into its current Headquarters in Bonn (see Bailey 2008). The fact that the IPC is based in Germany has given the Paralympic Movement a greater visibility (see IPC 2009) and it can be anticipated that this will continue in the future.

Collaboration gained momentum in 2009 when the “UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities” came into force in Germany.

The strategic paper on “Inclusion in and through sport” of the DOSB and its 98 member organisations is currently being implemented with a focus on improved accessibility and equal participation for persons with disabilities in all facets of sport. The goal is to make sustainable progress as far as recreational activities and high performance sport are concerned.

In current discussions it is not always clear what terminology should be used when addressing issues of joint participation, e.g. of persons with and without disabilities, as well as persons with migrant backgrounds. Therefore several experts were asked by the DOSB and the “German Sport Youth” (Deutsche Sportjugend/dsj) to provide detailed information about the use of the terms, such as “integration”, “inclusion”,
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“intercultural learning” and "diversity" and to share explanations about the meaning and theoretical concepts connected to these terms. This expertise has recently been published and serves as an important basis for further discussions (see Gieß-Stüber/ Burrmann/Radtke/Rulofs & Tiemann 2014).

Despite many successes in providing participation opportunities as spectators and athletes, more efforts are needed in making sure that persons with disabilities can take up positions as coaches, referees, umpires and leaders, e.g. of sport organisations and sport clubs.

A controversial issue being discussed in Germany as well as globally, is the participation of athletes with a disability who practice sport using a prosthesis.

Similar to the situation of South African sprinter Oscar Pistorius (see Pistorius 2009), the German athlete Markus Rehm has reached the highest levels of performance in his sport discipline, the long jump, and became German champion in long jump amongst athletes without disabilities. However, despite this outstanding result, he was not nominated to take part in the European Championships after winning the German Championship. The controversial debate is based on the question of whether he has an advantage using a prosthetic leg compared to the other competitors, which could be interpreted as unfair. This issue is not only of relevance for German sports, but also internationally, where the International Association of Athletics Federation (IAAF) has recently made decisions concerning the eligibility of athletes with prostheses.

In Germany, negotiations have begun to bring together more sport events for "able-bodied" athletes and athletes with disabilities; past experiences have shown that this could be a successful way forward towards inclusion.

The fact that the German Olympic Sport Confederation has approved a position statement on inclusion and is implementing a strategic plan, which is relevant for all Olympic, non-Olympic and three sport organisations for persons with disabilities (German Disability Sport Organisation/NPC Germany), Special Olympics Germany and the German Deaf Sport Organisation, as well as other member organisations, is an indication of the importance given to these developments in the sport movement in Germany.

Developments with regard to the Olympic and the Paralympic Movement are closely connected to the strategic decisions of the IOC, especially the Olympic
Agenda 2020, the implementation of the IPC’s strategic plan 2015-2018, and new directions taken by the International Sport Federations (IFs). They have an enormous impact on developments on the national level, e.g. in Germany. However, developments on the national level will also influence strategies of international sport governing bodies.
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ドイツにおけるパラリンピック・ムーブメントとオリンピック

グドルン・ドルテッパー
（ベルリン自由大学／ドイツ・オリンピックスポーツ連盟）

2006年、「ドイツスポーツ連盟」（Deutscher Sportbund）と「ドイツ・オリンピック委員会」（Nationales Olympisches Komitee für Deutschland）が合併してドイツ・オリンピックスポーツ連盟（Deutscher Olympischer Sportbund/DOSB）が創設され、ドイツのスポーツ統括組織となった。本稿では、合併の主な目的ならびに現在の目標と組織体制について、DOSB と障害者スポーツ団体、とりわけドイツ・パラリンピック委員会としての機能も果たす「ドイツ障害者スポーツ連盟」（Deutscher Behindertensportverband）との関係を中心に論じる。

両組織は、例えば、オリンピック・パラリンピック大会のミュンヘン（2018年/2022年）およびハンブルク（2024年）への招致の準備をはじめ、さまざまなプログラムやプロジェクトに協力して取り組んでいる。ドイツでは、「障害者の権利に関する条約」に基づく取り組みをはじめとして、「インクルージョン」（包容）がスポーツにおける数々の取り組みのキーワードになっている。DOSB の加盟組織全98団体の協力の下、重要な文書が作成され運用されている。「スポーツにおけるインクルージョン」については、「インフォメーションペーパー」、「ポジションペーパー」が作成され、これらを経て現在は「2015-2018年戦略ペーパー」が運用されている。これら文書の概要を本稿で簡単に紹介する。

「ドイツ・スポーツバッジ」と呼ばれるスポーツ競技大会の仕組みも、両組織間の協力の一例である。年齢、ジェンダーおよび障害の有無を問わず、すべての人が参加できる形での改善を経て、「スポーツバッジ・ツアー2015」はインクルージョンをテーマに掲げて実施された。他にも、オリンピックデー（6月23日）、選手権大会、ユース競技大会、オリンピック・パラリンピック・ユースキャンプなど、障害者と健常者がともに参加するスポーツイベントが数多く実施されている。

本稿では、ドイツのスポーツにおける機会の平等とインクルージョンに向けた次のステップについても概観する。